Essential Reference Paper 'D'

Task and Finish Group – Parking Enforcement Priorities Summary of Responses from Town Councils

1. Hertford Town Council

| Issue | Town Council Response | Officer Response |
|--|--|--|
| Enforcement of the evening economy | It would be a concern should higher levels of enforcement of the evening economy operate to the detriment of daytime coverage. | It should not be our aim to fund increased evening coverage by reducing coverage during the working day. |
| | It is important to maintain access to the town centre. | Enforcement of illegally parked vehicles in the evening would probably enhance access to the town centre in the evening (and certainly safety). |
| Enforcement around schools | Strongly supported and would support discussions between individual schools and EHDC to aim for an individualised approach where possible. | Congruent with the T&F Group's findings. |
| Mix of on-street vs off-street enforcement | Difficult to respond without knowing in greater depth what is intended. | N/A |
| Use of ANPR vehicle in limited circumstances permitted by law. | Supported, should it promote effective parking management and only operate within current legal parameters. | Noted. The service would only operate such a vehicle within legally permitted parameters. |
| Acceptance of public requests for enforcement | Ability for the public to make requests for enforcement should be maintained, where enforcement action can help resolve the problem. | Noted. The option for the public to request enforcement is likely to be retained and possibly enhanced should we move to offer an 'out of hours' service via the enforcement contractor. |

2. Bishop's Stortford Town Council

| Issue | Town Council Response | Officer Response |
|---|---|--|
| Enforcement of the evening economy. | There should be more emphasis on the evening economy, which could have implications for car park charges. | Congruent with T&F Group's findings. No commitment to impose evening car park charges. Council's car park charges are frozen for the next 2.5 years. |
| Schools enforcement | There should be more emphasis on schools enforcement. | Congruent with T&F Group's findings. |
| Mix of on-street vs off-street enforcement. | Favour the former over the latter. | Congruent with T&F Group's findings. |
| ANPR equipped enforcement vehicles | Believe its use to be appropriate on the terms described. | Noted. The service would only operate such a vehicle within legally permitted parameters. |

3. Ware Town Council

| Issue | Town Council Response | Officer Response |
|--|--|--|
| Enforcement around schools | Strongly supported. | Congruent with T&F Group's findings. |
| Mix of on-street vs off-street enforcement | All areas need enforcement, but agree that on-street enforcement merits more time than car park enforcement. | Congruent with T&F Group's findings. |
| Enforcement of the evening economy | Supported, but must be focussed on-street and not in car parks. | This would inevitably be the case, as car parks do not charge after 1830, so there are precious few contraventions to enforce in car parks after that time. Congruent with T&F Group's findings. |
| Pavement Parking | There should be enforcement of parking on the pavement. | Not currently possible. EHDC has not adopted these powers. |

4. Sawbridgeworth Town Council

| Issue | Town Council | Officer Response |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Response | |
| Enforcement of the | The town council would | If a vehicle is parked |
| evening economy | not like to discourage the | illegally on a double yellow |
| | evening economy by | line (which will only be |
| | enforcement measures. | there to promote highway |
| | | safety) then it should be |
| | | appropriate to take |
| | | enforcement action. |
| Enforcement around | Supported. | Congruent with T&F |
| schools | | Group's findings. |
| Enforcement against | Town council would like to | The Council's parking |
| 'stationary idling' vehicles. | see this enforced. | service currently has no |
| | | powers to enforce in this |
| | | area. |
| ANPR based enforcement | Not in favour. No | ANPR would not be a |
| | substitute for visible foot | substitute to or at the |
| | patrols. | expense of foot patrols. It |
| | | would be highly focussed |
| | | as per statutory |
| | | permissions and would |
| | | serve as an adjunct to foot |
| | | patrolling. |
| Public requests for | These should still be | Noted. |
| enforcement | accepted. | |

5. Buntingford Town Council

| Issue | Town Council Response | Officer Response |
|------------------------------------|--|---|
| Enforcement of the evening economy | Supported – especially in respect of the High Street, but should not be to the detriment of the evening economy. | Greater enforcement of the evening economy would not be to the detriment of daytime enforcement. Congruent with T&F Group's findings. |
| Enforcement around schools | Strongly supported. | Congruent with T&F Group's findings. |
| On street vs car park enforcement | On-street enforcement should be prioritised over car park enforcement. | Congruent with T&F Group's findings. |
| Enforcement by ANPR | This might release CEOs' time, but would add another vehicle causing obstruction. | Not necessarily the case. |
| Public requests for enforcement | Only accept when the issue is a persistent nuisance. | No way of telling when a member of the public calls whether the problem is 'persistent' and most members of the public would tend to regard their concerns as meriting a prompt and effective response from EHDC. |

N.B.

i) No response received from S Abbotts Parish Council